

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspro.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,992	02/21/2002	Been-Yih Jin	10559-587001 / P12768	1734	
FISH & RICH	11/21/2002 HARDSON, PC		EXAMINER		
4350 LA JOLI SUITE 500	LA VILLAGE DRIVE		POMPEY, RO	N EVERETT	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92122			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2812	2812	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/081,992	JIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ron E Pompey	2812			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application	l .				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-21</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
I C Detent and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/081,992 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chen et al. (US 5,545,574).

Chen discloses the limitations of:

a semiconductor substrate, the substrate being substantially free of silicon (20, fig. 2);

a gate dielectric layer (24, fig. 2) formed over a portion of the substrate; and a gate electrode, source and drain regions (26, 32 and 34, fig. 4) further comprising (col. 2, ln. 54 – col. 3, ln. 14): an interlayer dielectric layer (92, fig. 9) over the gate, source and drain (col. 4, lns. 31-35). Chen does not explicitly describe that the well and source and drain are different type of dopants, however it is inherent and well know that if you have a n-type of transistor that the well and source and drain regions are of different type dopants. Chen does disclose that the device formed is an n-channel device (col. 5, ln. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

. Application/Control Number: 10/081,992

Art Unit: 2812

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 12-13 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (US 5,545,574) in further view of Eguchi (US 5,185,286).

Chen discloses the limitations of the claimed invention except, wherein the interlevel dielectric defines first, second and third openings in the interlayer dielectric layer and further comprising: a metal within the first, second and third openings in contact with gate electrode, source and drain regions. Whereas, Eguchi discloses these limitations (col. 4, Ins. 30-36; 11, 12, 13 and 14, fig. 3). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Eguchi with Chen, because the contacts allow for electrical communication to the MOS device from external devices.

Election/Restrictions

5. Claims 1-7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected method, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made in a telephone interview on November 15, 2002.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron E Pompey whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3016.

Ron Pompey Art Unit: 2812

November 18, 2002

VJohn F. Niebling / Supervisory Patent Examination

Technology Center 201.3